

United States District Court  
FOR the Middle District of Pennsylvania  
Wm Branch Plaintiff  
vs.  
Russian Defendants

Civil Action No. 100-1728  
(Judge Conner )

Brief in support of

Request for clarification of

The award amount and Request to  
allow question one thru 5 Be answered  
By Defendants on my Parole issue.

If it Please's this most majestic tribune  
Here comes ignoble William Branch SF-3756 a inmate at  
S.C.I waymart, First to thank this Honorable Court For  
his just order to allow Discovery, "Thank you Sir".

I do not want to seem ungrateful Sir, but is there  
a Typo in the amount, of \$10.00 inclosed Please Find a true  
Copy of motion Doc#164 Requesting \$10,000. Please advise.

On the Discovery, again Ms Mosley has lied to  
your Honor in her Reply Brief stating; "there is no pleading  
about Parole!"

In my 42 § 1983 complaint see: attachments  
Page A-1 Line 16 Hand written stating this happen as  
I came up for Parole" The write up by Ms Suchy  
was planned around the time I came up for Parole  
also see: Exhibit A-A-6 misconduct #A151973 on  
Second Page letter to Sec Horn complaining about the  
way the staff has denied me parole and the conspiracy

Sir. this is not a new issue as Ms Mosley  
Contends a Reading of Grievance WAM 0-118-01  
Line 13 Pg 6 in this Exhibit sent to the court, stating:

I have a law suit pending in the third circuit one  
concerning Parole issues, in which MR Friedman +  
Governor Ridge are named

also in my final appeal Same Grievance Line 9 Pg 4  
To Supt Collehan stating: "I am asking 300,000 in my  
Parole civil action now stayed in the third circuit  
appeals.

also in the initial Review Response same Grievance  
From MR Nish Stating at Paragraph #8 : "as far as  
Parole issues are concerned, you have not been recom-  
mended for Parole because you are not in compli-  
ance with your Prescriptive Program Plan which  
indicates that you need to attend the Sex offenders  
Program based on your current convictions."

also Appeal to Secretary's office of inmate Grievance  
Starting at Line 7 thru 12 Pg 2-A Same Grievance  
Stating: "This is a ongoing conspiracy to harass and  
Retaliate against me by staff at S.C.I. Waymart  
for Reporting misconducts by staff and to force  
me to drop my Civil case against the parole  
Board + Governor + Sec. of the D.O.C. I am sueing  
for 3,000,000 in my Parole case.

#

Now look at 42 § 1983 complaint and Read Pg A-1 attach-  
ment 1. "in an attempt to force withdrawal of  
Pending law suits. page ②

Sir my Complaint is about a conspiracy to Retaliate against me this Retaliation can and does include anyway the Staff can mistreat me, Point I have a ~~cold~~ cold which has turned to walking Pneumonia, while it was a cold I went to med Lines and saw the P.A. lumus and asked for medicine.

I was told I don't need any medicine, I was in Pain, and she denied me pain Relief medicine  
(The C.I.A. has Just changed it's interrogation technique)

I am also under a fan and the Staff Runs it full Speed in order for me to sit on my Bunk I need a coat, Blank, and a hat in July, I asked them to turn down the Fans they Refussed, I asked to Be moved to a bed not Directly under a fan I was Told by MR friedman: I got you Just where I want you!

your Honor have you Read the Book cold storage? it's about this institution Farview/ SCI waymart, make informed Reading.

My 42 § 1983 Complaint against Clo Russian et.al, does State Parole was ~~denied~~ denied me in Retaliation and the D.o.c will say that they did this because I did not attend the Sex offenders Program per: MR nish in informal Review Response.

I need the Discovery answers to parole in order To Show that their Reasons have no bases it will show that inmates who do not take their Program do not commit Sex Page ③ offences and that those who

do take the programs do Retaliation with same crime, these statistics will show that this is not a Vallet Reason for denying me Parole and the only Reason I was denied Parole was FOR Accessing the courts and in Retaliation FOR seeking Redress from the government

Contractors doing business in the City of Philadelphia

6 F3d 990 on Remand 893 F Supp. 415 affirmed 41 F3d

586 certiorari denied 117 U.S. Ct. 953 136 L.Ed. 2d 841

[16,17] Federal Civil Procedure Key 24715

(anecdotal and statistical Evidence Presented by city was sufficient to withstand Summary Judgement by Contractors who Raised Equal Protection challenge to Racial Preference in awarding city contracts to dis advantage business enterprises owned by women and ~~minorities~~ minorities USCA const amend 14  
The Parole Board has already violated my Rights in that they interviewed me under the 1996 Guide line and not the 1941 Guide line's, This is a Ex post Violation as well these people acted under color of Law and deprived me of my Rights and as these actors are White and I am Black a check To See if they have Been Bias toward me and that a Pattern exist.

For the Reason Stated above I  
Pray this Honorable Court will Grant  
this motion to allow Discovery on questions  
#1 thru 5 in that this will also serve the interest  
of the courts as well, Respectfully was the  
amount awarded me on the ORDER a Tyoo.

Respectfully

Proof of Service

S.D.A.G. MS Mosley e.s. 15 fl. STRAWBERRY Sq Harrisburg Pa. 17120

~~\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_~~


Unsworn Declaration

I affirm under Penalty of the Law that the above is TRUE

Date 7-5-04

William Branch CF3756  
Po Box 256  
Kraymar, Pa. 18472

Name & No. CF3756 Branch  
P.O. Box 256  
Waymart, PA 18472-0256



INMATE MAIL  
PA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

~~Legal  
Mail~~

Judge Conner  
Po Box 983  
Harrisburg Pa 17108

1710840983 11

|||||